

# United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/532,791	06/14/2006	Marcel Sommer	123261	6628
25944 OLIEE & DED	7590 06/22/2007 PIDGE DI C	EXAMINER		
OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC P.O. BOX 19928			GREEN, ANTHONY J	
ALEXANDRIA	A, VA 22320		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
			1755	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/22/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Antique Occurrence	10/532,791	SOMMER ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Anthony J. Green	1755				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeariod for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
	—· s action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the m						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are rejected.	6)⊠ Claim(s) 1-16 is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.	•				
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documen	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
	·					
AMochanous (a)						
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail D	ate				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 23 April 2005.	5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	Patent Application				

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Response to Amendment

The preliminary amendment submitted on 25 April 2005 has been entered.
 Claims 1-16 are currently pending.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

  The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claims 2-4, 11-14 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claims 2-4 the phrase "the proportion" lacks proper antecedent basis.

In claim 11 the phrase "in particular" renders the claim indefinite because it is unclear whether the limitation following the phrase is part of the claimed invention and the scope of the claim is unascertainable.

In claim 12 the phrase "the dried mixture" lacks proper antecedent basis.

In claim 13 the phrases "the production" and "the addition" lack proper antecedent basis.

Claim 16 provides for the use of the setting and curing accelerator as claimed in claim 1, but, since the claim does not set forth any steps involved in the method/process, it is unclear what method/process applicant is intending to encompass.

A claim is indefinite where it merely recites a use without any active, positive steps delimiting how this use is actually practiced.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

4. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claim 16 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed recitation of a use, without setting forth any steps involved in the process, results in an improper definition of a process, i.e., results in a claim which is not a proper process claim under 35 U.S.C. 101. See for example *Ex parte Dunki*, 153 USPQ 678 (Bd.App. 1967) and *Clinical Products, Ltd.* v. *Brenner*, 255 F. Supp. 131, 149 USPQ 475 (D.D.C. 1966).

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical

Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

6. Claims 1-10 and 13-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by WO 01/42165 A2.

The reference teaches, on page 1, lines 21 - page 2-line 6 and page 3, lines 7-22 and example 5, an alkali-free accelerator for sprayed concrete which is prepared by dissolving aluminum sulphate in water and amorphous aluminum hydroxide in water optionally containing amine, and optionally adding at least one stabilizer, selected from hydroxycarboxylic acids and phosphoric acids and non-alkaline salts thereof, and at least one defoaming agent.

The instant claims are met by the reference.

7. Claims 1-10 and 13-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by WO 03/029163 A2.

The reference teaches, on page 2, line 5 - page 3, line 8; page 4, line 18 - page 5, line 2, and page 8, lines 1-4 an alkali-free accelerator for use with spray-applied cementitious compositions such as concrete and mortar is the reaction product in aqueous medium of amorphous aluminum hydroxide, aluminum sulphate, formic acid and phosphoric acid.

The instant claims are met by the reference.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 8. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 9. Claims 11-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over WO 01/42165 A2 and WO 03/029163 A2.

The references were discussed previously.

The instant claims are obvious over the references. While the reference does not recite that the accelerator is dried this is an obvious modification of the reference as one of ordinary skill in the art would have found it obvious to produce a dried composition if one was needed or desired without producing any unexpected results absent evidence showing otherwise as it is known in the art that one may dry an aqueous composition in order to increase its shelf life and for ease in transportation to the jobsite. One of ordinary skill in the art would then find it obvious to rehydrate the dried mixture before incorporation into the hydraulic binder absent evidence showing otherwise.

## Information Disclosure Statement

Page 6

10. The remaining references have been reviewed by the examiner and are considered to be cumulative to or less material than the prior art references relied upon in the above rejections.

### References Cited By The Examiner

11. The remaining references cited by the examiner are considered to be cumulative to or less material than the prior art references relied upon in the above rejections.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Anthony J.

Green whose telephone number is 571-272-1367. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 6:30-4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jerry Lorengo can be reached on 571-272-1233. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1755

ajg June 20, 2007